PCT

REC'C 0 7 SEP 2001

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference WO-02959			FOR FURTHER ACTIO			on of Transmittal of In	
VVO-029	59				reliminary Ex	camination Report (Fo	om PC1/IPEA/416)
International application No.			International filing date (day/m	onth/yea	<i>ar)</i> P	riority date (day/mor	nth/year)
PCT/EP	00/06	6227	14/06/2000		1	4/06/1999	
Internation C12N15		ent Classification (IPC) or r	national classification and IPC				
Applicant						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
DSM N.	√. et	al.					
t							
1. This and i	intern s tran	national preliminary exar Ismitted to the applicant	mination report has been preparation according to Article 36.	red by	this Interna	ational Preliminary	Examining Authority
2. This	REPO	ORT consists of a total of	of 8 sheets, including this cove	r sheet	t.		
1	een a	amended and are the ba	ed by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of asis for this report and/or shee 607 of the Administrative Instr	ts conta	aining rectifi	ications made befo	ings which have ore this Authority
Thes	e ann	nexes consist of a total of	of sheets.				
			<u> </u>				
3. This	report	t contains indications rel	lating to the following items:				
1	\boxtimes	Basis of the report					
11		Priority					
111	\boxtimes	Non-establishment of	opinion with regard to novelty,	inventi	ve step and	d industrial applica	bility
IV	\boxtimes	Lack of unity of invent	ion				•
٧						al applicability;	
VI		Certain documents ci	ted				
VII		Certain defects in the	international application				
VIII		Certain observations of	on the international application				
Date of sut	missio	on of the demand	Date	of comp	oletion of this	report	
20/12/20	00		04.0	9.2001			
	Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:				fficer		SISONES MICHAE
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich				drich, (C		(Lame Same
<u> </u>	Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465					20.7704	To the state of th
		·	ı lele	mone No	o. +49 89 239	39 / /21	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/EP00/06227

l. Basis	of the	he re	port
----------	--------	-------	------

1.	With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)): Description, pages:					
	1-2	26	as originally filed			
	Claims, No.:					
	1-2	7	as originally filed			
	Drawings, sheets:					
	1/6	-6/6	as originally filed			
	Sequence listing part of the description, pages:					
	1-7	5, as originally filed				
2.	With regard to the language , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:					
	the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).					
		the language of pu	ublication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).			
		the language of a 55.2 and/or 55.3).	translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule			
3.	3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:					
	\boxtimes	contained in the in	ternational application in written form.			
	\boxtimes	filed together with	the international application in computer readable form.			
	☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.					
		furnished subsequ	ently to this Authority in computer readable form.			
		The statement that the international ap	t the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in oplication as filed has been furnished.			
		The statement that listing has been ful	t the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence mished.			
4.	The	amendments have	resulted in the cancellation of:			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/EP00/06227

		the description,	pages:		
		the claims,	Nos.:		
		the drawings,	sheets:		
5.		This report has been considered to go bey	established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have bee rond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):		
		(Any replacement sh report.)	eet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this		
6.	Add	litional observations, i	f necessary:		
Ш	Nor	n-establishment of o	pinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:				
		the entire international	al application.		
	☒	claims Nos. 19-21, 23	3.		
be	caus	e:			
		the said international not require an interna	application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does tional preliminary examination (<i>specify</i>):		
	⊠	the description, claim unclear that no mean see separate sheet	s or drawings (<i>indicate particular elements below</i>) or said claims Nos. 19-21, 23 are so ingful opinion could be formed (<i>specify</i>):		
		the claims, or said cla	tims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinior		
		no international searc	h report has been established for the said claims Nos		
2.	and/	eaningful international or amino acid sequen uctions:	preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide ce listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative		
			ot been furnished or does not comply with the standard. e form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.		

IV. Lack of unity of invention

1. In response to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees the applicant has:

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/EP00/06227

	restricted the claims.					
	paid additional fees.					
	paid additional fees under protest.					
	neither restricted nor pa	aid addit	ional fees	S.		
☒	This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied and chose, according to Rule 68.1, not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.					
This	nis Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is					
□ complied with.						
×	not complied with for the see separate sheet	e followi	ng reaso	ns:		
Con exar	nsequently, the following parts of the international application were the subject of international preliminary amination in establishing this report:					
Ø	all parts.					
	the parts relating to clair	ns Nos.	•			
Rea citat	easoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; tations and explanations supporting such statement					
State	atement					
Novelty (N) Inventive step (IS)		Yes: No:		6-18, 22, 27 1-5, 24-26		
		Yes: No:	Claims Claims	27 6-18, 22		
Indu	strial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-18, 22, 24-27		
	This Connexat Reacitat State Nove	□ paid additional fees. □ paid additional fees und □ neither restricted nor pa □ This Authority found that 68.1, not to invite the ap This Authority considers that □ complied with. □ not complied with for the see separate sheet Consequently, the following examination in establishing to all parts. □ the parts relating to clair Reasoned statement under citations and explanations Statement Novelty (N)	□ paid additional fees under prote □ neither restricted nor paid addit □ This Authority found that the recebs.1, not to invite the applicant □ complied with. □ complied with for the following see separate sheet □ Consequently, the following parts of examination in establishing this report □ all parts. □ the parts relating to claims Nos. □ Reasoned statement under Article citations and explanations support Statement Novelty (N) Yes: No: Inventive step (IS) Yes: No: Industrial applicability (IA) Yes:	□ paid additional fees. □ paid additional fees under protest. □ neither restricted nor paid additional fees. □ This Authority found that the requirement 68.1, not to invite the applicant to restrict. This Authority considers that the requirement complied with. □ complied with. □ not complied with for the following reasonsee separate sheet. Consequently, the following parts of the interfexamination in establishing this report: □ all parts. □ the parts relating to claims Nos Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) we citations and explanations supporting successful the parts relating to claims Nos. Claims No: Claims		

2. Citations and explanations see separate sheet

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: APARICIO, J.F. et al.,1999. The biosynthetic gene cluster for the 26-membered ring polyene macrolide pimaricin. A new polyketide synthase organization encoded by two subclusters separated by functionalization genes. Journal of Biological Chemistry 274:10133-10139.
- D2: WO 95 01098 A (MONSANTO CO) 12 January 1995 (1995-01-12).
- D3: US-A-5 672 497 (COX KAREN L ET AL) 30 September 1997 (1997-09-30).
- D4: BRAUTASET, T. et al., 2000. Biosynthesis of the polyene antifungal antibiotic nystatin in Streptomyces noursei ATCC 11455: analysis of the gene cluster and deduction of the biosynthetic pathway. Chemistry and Biology 7:395-403.

Introduction

The present application concerns functionalization genes in the biosynthetic pathway of pimaricin. The claims refer to polynucleotide and amino acid sequences of three open reading frames (ORF1-3) within the polyketide synthase (PKS) cluster of Streptomyces natalensis (claims 1-5). They furthermore concern over expression (claims 6-8, and 15), inactivation (claims 9-11, and 16), and heterologous expression (claims 12-14, and 17) of said genes, generation of pimaricin, derivatives thereof, and other biomolecules (claims 18-23), and generation of recombinant protein (claims 24-27). No opinion has been established regarding subject-matter of claims 19-21 and 23, which refer to biomolecules. The application lacks unity under Rule 13.1, PCT, however, the international preliminary examination is performed in respect of the entire application. The applicant has not been invited to restrict the claims nor pay additional fees (Rule 68.1, PCT). Subject-matter concerning homologues and fragments of polypeptides and polynucleotides according to SEQ ID NO: 5-9 and their expression in cells (claims 1-5 and 24-26) is not considered novel under Art.33(1) and (2), PCT. Subject-matter concerning the cloning and use of known genes (claims 6-18, 22, and 24-26) is not considered inventive over D1 and D3 under Art.33(1) and (3).

The priority, as claimed, has been found valid. Thus D4, which is cited in the search report, has not been considered during examination of the present application.

Re Item III

7

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Clarity of Claims, Art.6, PCT

Claims 19-21 and 23 refer to biomolecules without the provision of any technical features characterizing said biomolecules. In the light of the description the word biomolecule could mean e.g. a recombinant protein or a metabolite of the polyketide biosynthesis pathway. It could also mean any other molecule potentially produced by a cell. Since independent claims 19-21 and 23 do not contain the technical features essential to the definition of the invention, they do not comply with Art.6, PCT, and no opinion has been formed on novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability of said claims (see Art.34(4)(a)(ii), PCT).

Re Item IV

Lack of unity of invention

The present application concerns three different polynucleotides (SEQ ID NO: 5, 7, or 9) from the pimaricin biosynthesis gene cluster of S. natalensis and their use in the production of antibiotics (claims 1-27). Their biological activity as functionalization genes (cholesterol oxidase and p450-dependent monooxygenase) and their localization within the pimaricin biosynthetic gene cluster has already been disclosed in D1. Since the sequences are not structurally related there is no new special technical feature provided in the application which defines the contribution made by each individual invention over the prior art. The separate inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (Rule 13.1 PCT), are:

- 1. SEQ ID NO: 5 and its use (claims 1-27 in part)
- 2. SEQ ID NO: 7 and its use (claims 1-27 in part)
- 3. SEQ ID NO: 9 and its use (claims 1-27 in part)

Re Item V

7

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Novelty, Art.33(1) and (2), PCT

- 1.1. Claims 1-5 refer to polynucleotide SEQ ID NO: 5, 7, or 9 and polypeptide SEQ ID NO: 6, 8, or 9 and homologues or fragments thereof, said homologues or fragments being defined based on sequence identity, sequence length and biologic activity (description p.8, I.27 to p.12, I.9 and examples 4 and 5). The biologic activities of SEQ ID NO:5 (ORF1), SEQ ID NO:7 (ORF2) and SEQ ID NO:9 (ORF3) were derived from comparison with sequences in public data bases (description, p.7, I.27-30). These sequences, based on which the function originally has been determined (e.g. the amino acid sequence of a 3-hydroxy-steroid-oxidase in D2, p.30-32 or cholesterol oxidases from other Streptomyces species described on page 7, line 31-32 of the present application), also fulfill the criteria for homologues or fragments of SEQ ID NO: 5-9 and thus appear to be novelty destroying for subject-matter of claims 1-5 according to Art.33 (1) and (2), PCT. Also vectors, cells, and recombinant expression of said sequences (claims 24-26) do not appear to be novel under Art.33(1)(2), PCT.
- 1.2. Overexpression (claims 6-8, 15, 18, and 22), inactivation (claims 9-11 and 16), and heterologous expression (claims 12-14, and 17) of polynucleotide SEQ ID NO: 5, 7, or 9, and oxidative modification with isolated polypeptides according to SEQ ID NO: 6, 8, or 9 (claim 27) have not been disclosed in the prior art and appear to be novel under Art.33(1)(2), PCT.

2. Inventive Step, Art.33(1) and (3), PCT

2.1. Claims 6-18, and 22 concern overexpression, inactivation, and heterologous expression, of isolated genes according to SEQ ID No. 5, 7, or 9 from the pimaricin biosynthetic pathway. D1 discloses the localization of said genes, namely ChoOx and two P-450 monooxygenases, next to pimS0 and pimS1 (page 10134, column 2, last paragraph and page 10135, Fig.2). The DNA sequence of pimS0 and pimS1 is also disclosed (AJ132221 and AJ132222). The function and roles of said genes in the pimaricin biosynthetic pathway were predicted based on sequence similarities and localization of the genes (D1, page 10134, column 2, 2nd paragraph). In the light of D1, which may be considered the closest prior art, the technical problem appears to be the

cloning of said genes and their use in the production of more or modified antibiotics. D3 discloses genes encoding enzymes required for the biosynthetic pathway of Tylosin, an antibiotic produced by Streptomyces fradiae. It further discloses the use of genes involved in the biosynthesis of Tylosin for the production of Tylosin at increased level (column 3, line 55 to column 4, line 15), the production of modified antibiotics (column 2, 3rd paragraph and column 19, lines 8-21), and mutant S. fradiae strains defective in Tylosin biosynthesis (column 10, last paragraph). Combination of the teaching of D1 and D3 gives strong incentive to clone the genes from S. natalensis flanking pimS0 and pimS1 and use them for recombinant expression. The actual cloning procedure and the generation of deficient or over expressing cell lines are considered well established methodologies widely used in the art before the relevant filing date of the present application. Thus the present invention amounts to not more than the application of known methods to allready localized genes, which can be readily performed by the skilled person in the art. Therefore the solution proposed in claims 6-18, and 22 of the present application does not appear to involve an inventive step under Art.33 (1) and (3), PCT.

2.2. The use of isolated polypeptide according to SEQ ID NO:6, 8, or 9 for the oxidative modification of a methyl group has not been disclosed or suggested by the prior art and thus appears to be inventive under Art.33 (1) and (3), PCT.

3. Industrial applicability, Art.33(1) and (4), PCT

Subject-matter where an opinion has been established (claims 1-18, 22, and 24-27, see also box III) appears to be industrially applicable under Art.33(1) and (4), PCT.